

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,028	01/17/2002	Gerald A. DiBattista	Mo-6937/MD-01-87-KU 2112	
157	7590 09/24/2003			
BAYER POLYMERS LLC			EXAMINER	
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			EDELL, JOSEPH F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		34				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/053,028	DIBATTISTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Joseph F Edell	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .	•				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disp sition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	).					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		minor				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception and acception acception to the state and acception acception to the state and acception acception to the state and acception acc						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p	, (-) - · · · ·				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
0.00						

Application/Control Number: 10/053,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,100,204 to Makihara et al.

Makihara et al. disclose a molded article that includes all the limitations recited in claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 15, 17, and 18. Makihara et al. show a molded article having a metallic rigid support 30 (Fig. 2) with a plurality of perforations 38 (Fig. 2) including deformed edge portions 40 (Fig. 2) and anchoring extensions (Fig. 5), a molded flexible member 28 (Fig. 2) of thermoplastic material that abuts a portion of the support, has a hollow interior (Fig. 4), and a molded-in texture (Fig. 4) wherein at least a portion (Fig. 5) of the flexible member extends through some of the perforations of the support thereby fixedly attaching the flexible member to the support, and fasteners 34 (Fig. 2) further fixedly attaching the flexible member to the support.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/053,028 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makihara et al.

Makihara et al. disclose a molded article that is basically same as the recited in claim 3 except the material of the rigid support is not specified as a thermoplastic, as recited in the claims. Although the rigid support is generically cited as a metal, modifying the material would have been obvious at the time the invention was made because the use of preferred materials discovered by routine experimentation is ordinarily with the skill of the art. Further, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the material of the rigid support, since the applicant has not disclosed that having the specific material solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears the molded article would perform equally well with any well know material used in the seat art.

5. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makihara et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,120,100 to Palazzolo et al.

Makihara et al. disclose a molded article that is basically same as the recited in claims 5 and 7 except the flexible member lacks a means for reversibly increasing pressure within the hollow member through fluid communication via a pressure regulating valve, as recited in the claims. Palazzolo et al. show a molded article similar to that of Makihara et al. wherein the molded article has a flexible member 26 (Fig. 5) with an outer surface and further comprising an integral film/fabric covering 20 (Fig. 5)

on the outer surface formed by an in-mold decoration process. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the molded article of Makihara et al. such that the flexible member has an integral film/fabric covering on the outer surface formed by an in-mold decoration process, such as the molded article disclosed in Palazzolo et al. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Palazzolo et al. that the decorative covering on the flexible member provides an outer surface with various textures and colors.

6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makihara et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,711,575 to Hand et al.

Makihara et al. disclose a molded article that is basically same as the recited in claims 10 and 11 except the flexible member lacks a means for reversibly increasing pressure within the hollow member through fluid communication via a pressure regulating valve, as recited in the claims. Hand et al. show a molded article similar to that of Makihara et al. wherein the hollow interior has a means for reversibly increasing pressure with a hollow interior, a pressure regulating valve the provides fluid communication with the hollow interior, and a heated or cooled liquid is introduced into the hollow interior. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the molded article of Makihara et al. such that the flexible member has a means for reversibly increasing the pressure within the hollow interior having a pressure regulating valve that provide communication with the hollow interior, and a heated or cooled liquid reversibly

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/053,028

Art Unit: 3636

introduced into the hollow member through the pressure regulating valve, such as the molded article disclosed in Hand et al. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Hand et al. that the means for reversibly increasing the pressure allows for the accommodation of different sized users.

7. Claims 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makihara et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,522,645 to Dahlbacka.

Makihara et al. disclose a molded article that is basically same as the recited in claims 13, 14, and 16 except the rigid support lacks seat back and bottom supports and the rigid support lacks a hollow interior, as recited in the claims. Dahlbacka shows a molded article similar to that of Makihara et al. wherein the rigid support has a rigid seat back support and a rigid seat bottom supports 12 (Fig. 6) with flexible cushions 14,14A (Fig. 6) attached to the supports, and the rigid support is a continuous unit with a hollow interior (Fig. 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the molded article of Makihara et al. such that the rigid support has a unitary, rigid seat back and bottom supports and the flexible member has flexible cushions attached to the seat back and bottom supports, and the rigid support has a hollow interior, such as the molded article disclosed in Dahlbacka. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in view of the suggestion in Dahlbacka that the hollow rigid support with attached flexible cushion is inexpensive to assemble due to the minimum of components and requiring minimal skilled labor.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,028

Art Unit: 3636

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Edell whose telephone number is (703) 605-1216. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30am-5:00pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

September 22, 2003

Pet<del>en R.</del> Brown Primary Examiner